

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,431		10/25/2001	Sean A. McCarthy	10147-6U2	5729
570	7590	11/28/2003		EXAMINER	
		TRAUSS HAUER & CE SQUARE	NASHED, NASHAAT T		
		STREET, SUITE 2200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013			1652		
				DATE MAILED: 11/28/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/042,431	MCCARTHY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Nashaat T. Nashed	1652				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	p ars on th cov r s					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period interest or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however oly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (<u> October 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers						
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • •	-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) 🗌 :	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat the ethe attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment is made	ts have been received ts have been received ts have been received only documents have by the certified copies of the certified copies of the sentence of the spootsional application	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Stage). es not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) pecification or in an Application Data Sheet. has been received.				
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ference was included in the first sentence of the	ic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment	(c)						
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Art Unit: 1652

Claims 1-51 are pending.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Groups 1-6	Claims 1-7, and 12, drawn to nucleic acid, vector host cell and a recombinant method to make the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO's: 47-52, respectively, classified in Class 536, subclasses 23.2, and classified in Class 435, subclasses 183. Select one sequence for prosecution.
Groups 7-12	Claims 8-10, drawn to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 47-52, respectively, classified in Class 435, subclass 183. Select one sequence for prosecution.
Groups 13-18	Claims 11, 13-15, and 23, drawn to antibody raised against the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO's: 47-52, respectively, and method of use, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1. Select one sequence for prosecution.
Groups 19-24	Claims 16-18 and 37-42, drawn to a hybridization probes and method of detecting nucleic acid encoding the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 47-52, respectively, classified in Class 435, subclass 6. Select one sequence for prosecution. Select one sequence for prosecution.
Groups 25-30	Claim 19, 20 and 22 (claims 28-30 are also included in Group 25 only), drawn to a method of identifying a compound that binds to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO's: 47-52, respectively, classified in Class 435, subclass 18. Select one sequence for prosecution.
Groups 31-36	Claims 21 (claims 31,32, 34-45, 47, 48, 50, and 51 are included in Group 31), drawn to a method of modulating the activity of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 47-52, respectively, classification of this Group is unknown because the specification has not exemplified the structure of modulator of the polypeptide. Select one sequence for prosecution.
Group 37	Claims 33, 46, and 49, drawn to a method of making pharmaceutical composition for TANGO 294-like lipase, classification of this Group is unknown because the specification has not exemplified the structure of modulator of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 47.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1652

The nucleic acids of Groups 1-6 are independent chemical entities and require different searches in the patent and non-patent literature.

The polypeptides of Groups 7-12 are independent chemical entities and require different searches in the patent and non-patent literature.

The antibodies of Group 13-18 are independent chemical entities and require different searches in the patent and non-patent literature.

The hybridization probes of Group 19-24 are independent chemical entities and require different searches in the patent and non-patent literature.

The nucleic acids of Groups 1-6, the polypeptides of Groups 7-12, the antibodies of Groups 13-18, and the hybridization probes of Groups 19-24 are independent chemical entities and require different searches in the patent and non-patent literature. Claims drawn to method of making proteins using the recombinant DNA would be placed with the DNA of Group I because, although they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, they do not constitute a burden to search them in addition to the DNA sequences.

Inventions of Groups 1-6 and those of Groups 25-37 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the methods of Groups 25-37 do not utilize the nucleic acids of Groups 1-6.

Each of the polypeptides of Groups 7-12 and those of Groups 25-30 and 31-36, respectively, are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptides of Groups 7-12 can be utilized in other methods such as making antibodies.

With the exception of one of the polypeptide, the polypeptides of Groups 7-12 and those of Groups 25-36, respectively, are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the methods of Groups 25-36 utilizes only one polypeptide

Art Unit: 1652

corresponding to the polypeptides of Groups 7-12, respectively, and does not utilize any of the others.

Inventions of Groups 13-18 and those 25-37 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the methods of Groups 25-37 do not utilize any of the antibodies of Groups 13-18.

Inventions of Groups 19-24 and those 25-37 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the methods of Groups 25-37 do not utilize any of the hybridization probes of Groups 19-24.

Inventions of Groups 25-37 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are independent methods having different steps and use different reagents.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35

Art Unit: 1652

U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-6586. The examiner can normally be reached Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 305-3014 and (703)308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Náshaát T. Nashed, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner